



Shropshire Council Site Allocations and Management of Development (SAMDEV) Plan

Main Modifications consultation

1 June 2015 – 13 July 2015

For Shropshire
Council use

Respondent
no:

Main Modifications Consultation Form

The SAMDev Plan Schedule of Main Modifications includes a series of changes to the published SAMDev Plan. These suggested changes are being consulted on for a period of six weeks. For advice on how to respond to the consultation, and how to fill in this form please see the guidance notes on the Council's SAMDev Plan website at: <http://shropshire.gov.uk/planning-policy/samdev-examination/main-modifications-consultation/>.

Submitting comments:

Please fill in this form and return:

- Via email to: Programme.Officer@shropshire.gov.uk
- By posting to: Daphne Woof - Programme Officer
c/o Planning Policy Team
Shropshire Council
Shirehall
Abbey Foregate
Shrewsbury
SY2 6ND

- Comments must be received by 5pm on 13 July 2015. **Comments received after this time will not be accepted and will not be considered by the Inspector.**
- Please fill a separate for each Main Modification you are commenting on.
- Please clearly identify which Main Modification your comments refer to using the reference (i.e. MM1, MM2 etc) in the SAMDev Plan Schedule of Main modifications.
- Please do not repeat your previous comments as these have already been considered by the Planning Inspector. **Comments will only be considered that refer to a change as shown in the SAMDev Plan Schedule of Main Modifications.**

Shropshire Council SAMDev Plan Main Modifications Response Form

- All comments received on the proposed changes within the time period will be considered by the Planning Inspector as part of the examination of the SAMDev Plan. The Inspector may wish to contact you to discuss your comments and concerns, prior to concluding the formal examination into the Plan.
- The personal information will only be used for purposes related to the consultation and the SAMDev Plan examination. The Council will place all the representations and the names of those who made them on its website, but will not publish personal information such as telephone numbers, emails or private addresses. However other information will be shared with the Planning Inspector.
- The information relating to your comments on the Main Modification (Part B) will be published on the Shropshire Council SAMDev Plan examination webpage.

A) Your details:

1) Who is making this representation?

Name: June	McCarthy
Organisation (if applicable):	N/A
Address:	
Email:	
Telephone:	

Client's details (only applicable if you are acting as agent on behalf of another person or business)

Name:	
Organisation (if applicable):	
Address:	
Email:	
Telephone:	

B) Your representations: What do you wish to object to/support?

Please use a separate form for each Main Modification you wish to comment on. Only comments relating to a proposed Main Modification will be considered.

1) Please give the Main Modification reference your comment relates to.

Main Modification reference - MM:8, page18, policy MD2

2) Do you consider the proposed Main Modification addresses the following issues in relation to the policies concerned?

	Yes	No
Legally compliant	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Positively prepared	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justified	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Consistent with national policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3) If you have answered 'no' to any of the above please specify your reason for objecting below. You should make clear why the Main Modification is either not legally compliant and/or unsound having regard to whether the modification is not: positively prepared; justified; effective; or, not consistent with national policy. Please see guidance notes for explanation of these terms:

The modification is insufficient in its scope to fulfil its own directive, that is the directive contained within it, i.e. the "Protecting, conserving and enhancing the historic context and character of heritage assets, their significance and setting, in accordance with MD13". To achieve and observe these stated directives, in addition to the primary Heritage Assessment of the context and character of a monument, its significance and setting, an assessment of any harm which will be done to the monument and its setting by the development proposals, and a further assessment of any persisting harm, despite any subsequent mitigating proposals by the developer, is required to be made by the same Heritage Authority. A developer's assessment will always argue that his "mitigating" proposals do mitigate, it is in his interests to do so, and a planning Authority which is not expert in heritage, may be persuaded by the developer's argument. In order to ensure that the Heritage is truly protected by these measures, and to determine whether or not the level of harm to a heritage asset remains unacceptable, the expert Heritage Authority needs to assess the effectiveness of any mitigation measures, and recommend accordingly.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

- 4) Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the SAMDev Main Modification legally compliant and/or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

The modifications appear to make no differentiation between heritage of local, national and international level.

Surely there should be a more imperative directive for nationally and internationally important Monuments and their context character and settings that binds the Authority to follow expert advice?

Whilst harmful impact to a locally important heritage site, character and setting, is regrettable, and to be avoided, or mitigated if that is not possible, harmful impact on a nationally important or internationally important site is just not affordable or acceptable..

NOTE

Apologies to the Inspector. Some of the modifications cross reference and reinforce each other. I was not sure whether I should raise these issues under MM8 or MD 13, as both these deal with the protection of heritage.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary.

Please note you should cover all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to justify the representation and the suggested change. After this stage, further submissions will only be accepted at the request of the Inspector.

You must return this form by 5pm on Monday 13 July 2015.

You can e-mail it to:

Programme.officer@shropshire.gov.uk

Or return by post to: Daphne Woof - Programme Officer, c/o Planning Policy Team, Shropshire Council, Shirehall, Abbey Foregate, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY2 6ND

The Programme Officer will acknowledge receipt of comments submitted by e-mail.