



COMPLETE

Collector: New Link (Web Link)
Started: Monday, April 28, 2014 9:01:08 AM
Last Modified: Monday, April 28, 2014 9:26:38 AM
Time Spent: 00:25:30
IP Address: 168.88.70.34

PAGE 1

Q1: Your details:

Name: Tom Supple
 Organisation (if applicable)

Q2: Are you acting on behalf of anyone? No

PAGE 2

Q3: Who are you acting on behalf of: *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 3: Representation details

Q4: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to: Policy Map 16 - SHREW016, Urban Extension Shrewsbury South,

Q5: Is your representation in support or objection? Object

Q6: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Legally compliant Yes
 Sound No

Q7: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply) Not positively prepared

Q8: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

Very little public engagement on this latest public consultation.
 Not aware of this deadline through the media or email (despite having made comments previously).
 Pleased to see all the plots are view able for Shrewsbury in the round, but would like more time to assess. Have concerns about 'sustainable development'.
 Would like much higher environmental Sustainability Code put in place, i.e Level 4 (SCL4) as a minimum for all new housing.
 Housing Densities are also an issue. Not adverse to higher densities, if the flip side is fantastic open space and room for wildlife, but densities in the developments I've seen seem to favour the house builder only. PLOT SHREW016 is a case in point, housing should be restricted to allow both wildlife space (to the river) and public amenity (footpath/routes).
 Finally, please reassure me that cycling and general route corridors are at the forefront of planning. Perhaps these and the key public amenity space/wildlife areas should figure on the overall SAMDEV maps to better show the context of these proposed built areas?

SAMdev consultation 2014

Q9: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

As above. No time for further comments.

Q10: Do you wish to make another representation? No

PAGE 4: Representation details 2

Q11: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to: *Respondent skipped this question*

Q12: Is your representation in support or objection? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q13: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'. *Respondent skipped this question*

Q14: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply) *Respondent skipped this question*

Q15: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy. *Respondent skipped this question*

Q16: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible. *Respondent skipped this question*

Q17: Do you wish to make another representation? *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 5: Representation details 3

Q18: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to: *Respondent skipped this question*

Q19: Is your representation in support or objection? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q20: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'. *Respondent skipped this question*

Q21: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply) *Respondent skipped this question*

Q22: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy. *Respondent skipped this question*

SAMdev consultation 2014

Q23: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 6: Finally...

Q24: Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?

Yes, I wish to give evidence about my representation at the examination

PAGE 7

Q25: If you wish to attend the examination please explain why you think this is necessary.

Would like more information.

Q26: Do you wish to be notified of any of the following: (we will contact you using the details you have provided)

When the SAMDev plan has been submitted for examination	Yes
When the Inspector's report is published	Yes
When the SAMDev plan is adopted	Yes