

#52

COMPLETE



Collector: New Link (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, April 27, 2014 10:36:39 AM
Last Modified: Sunday, April 27, 2014 10:52:30 AM
Time Spent: 00:15:51
IP Address: 81.147.120.247

PAGE 1

Q1: Your details:

Name: Jan Reeve
 Address:

Q2: Are you acting on behalf of anyone? No

PAGE 2

Q3: Who are you acting on behalf of: *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 3: Representation details

Q4: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to: S5: S5.1 para 3

Q5: Is your representation in support or objection? Object

Q6: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.

Legally compliant Yes
 Sound No

Q7: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply) Not justified

Q8: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.

With particular issue to the section aforementioned.
 Development of the land East of the A49 would have a detrimental effect on the existing town and tourism. Church Stretton is very similar to many small towns, its only and extremely important difference is the beautiful surroundings, the hills in particular. Helmeth wood is one of a very few ancient woodlands (over 600 years old) left in the country, and it needs to be seen within its larger environs. The ancient hill fort on Caer Caradoc requires the same right to sit within its historic landscape without the risk of development on its perimeters. To put this inclusion in (S5: S5.1 para 3) this document is tantamount to sounding the death toll for our amazing location and hills.

Q9: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.

Delete paragraph 3 of S5.1 in section S5.

Q10: Do you wish to make another representation? No

PAGE 4: Representation details 2

Q11: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q12: Is your representation in support or objection?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q13: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q14: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q15: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q16: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q17: Do you wish to make another representation?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

PAGE 5: Representation details 3

Q18: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q19: Is your representation in support or objection?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q20: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q21: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q22: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q23: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

PAGE 6: Finally...

SAMdev consultation 2014

Q24: Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?

No, I wish to pursue my representation through this written representation

PAGE 7

Q25: If you wish to attend the examination please explain why you think this is necessary.

Respondent skipped this question

Q26: Do you wish to be notified of any of the following: (we will contact you using the details you have provided)

When the Inspector's report is published

Yes

When the SAMDev plan is adopted

Yes