

#24



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** New Link (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, April 15, 2014 4:20:51 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, April 15, 2014 4:25:37 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:46  
**IP Address:** 109.152.6.135

PAGE 1

**Q1: Your details:**

Name: Mrs Dorothy McBride  
 Organisation (if applicable): Hopton Wafers Parish Council  
 Address:  
 Email: hoptonwaferspc@aol.com  
 Telephone:

**Q2: Are you acting on behalf of anyone?** No

PAGE 2

**Q3: Who are you acting on behalf of:** *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 3: Representation details

**Q4: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:** S6.2 (ii)

**Q5: Is your representation in support or objection?** Support

**Q6: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.**

Legally compliant Yes  
 Sound Yes

**Q7: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q8: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.**

Hopton Wafers Parish Council is supportive of the principle of the SAMDev and feel the consultation process has been extensive. The Parish Council has had many opportunities to comment on the process of the SAMDev and we have done so. We have had our say and hope the plan is adopted and adhered to. We have concerns over the time taken to bring this plan to this point ready for adoption and also the effectiveness of the plan with the apparent lack of a 5 year land supply.

**Q9: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q10: Do you wish to make another representation?** No

PAGE 4: Representation details 2

<b>Q11: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q12: Is your representation in support or objection?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q13: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q14: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q15: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q16: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q17: Do you wish to make another representation?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

PAGE 5: Representation details 3

<b>Q18: Please give the policy/paragraph/policies map details for your first representation relates to:</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q19: Is your representation in support or objection?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q20: In respect of your representation on the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map do you consider that the SAMdev is: See guidance notes sections 1 and 2 for the meanings of 'legally compliant' and 'sound'.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q21: If your representation considers the SAMDev plan is not sound, please say whether this is because it is: (tick as many as apply)</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q22: Please specify your reason for supporting or objecting. If you are objecting, you should make clear why the document is unsound having regard to the issues of 'legal compliance' or whether the document is not positively prepared, justified, effective or not consistent with national policy.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q23: Explain the changes you think should be made to the SAMdev Plan in order to make it legally compliant or sound. You should explain your suggested revisions to the policy, paragraph or section of the policies map and why this change would make the plan legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

PAGE 6: Finally...

# SAMdev consultation 2014

**Q24: Do you consider it necessary to attend and give evidence at the examination?**

No, I wish to pursue my representation through this written representation

PAGE 7

**Q25: If you wish to attend the examination please explain why you think this is necessary.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q26: Do you wish to be notified of any of the following: (we will contact you using the details you have provided)**

When the SAMDev plan has been submitted for examination	Yes
When the Inspector's report is published	Yes
When the SAMDev plan is adopted	Yes