

Shropshire Council Climate Strategy

Carbon Monitoring Report FY2025

PUBLIC

Strategy and Organisational Development, Business Intelligence and Insight.



www.shropshire.gov.uk
General Enquiries: 0345 678 9000

Contents

Executive summary	2
Index of figures and tables	3
1. Introduction	4
2. Carbon pathway	5
3. Carbon monitoring method	6
4. Corporate emissions trend	7
5. Carbon footprint – summary	10
6. Directorate emissions	13
7. Negative or avoided emissions	16
8. County emissions	19
9. Project register	21
Annex A – Reporting and data issues	24
Annex B – Directorate budget methodology	24
Annex C – Opportunities and risks summary	25
Annex D – Glossary of technical terms and abbreviations	26
Annex E – Analytical tools and disclosure platforms	27


Executive summary

This report provides a comprehensive overview of the organization's carbon footprint, detailing emissions at both the corporate or directorate and County levels, as well as outlining negative or avoided emissions for offsetting. It includes a project register and annexes addressing reporting, data issues, budget methodologies, and technical terminology.

The Council has achieved a 35% reduction in its carbon footprint since 2019, with 2025 NET emissions amounting to 39,839 tCO_{2e}. It also provides guidance on accessing supporting data and demonstrates the Council's commitment to transparency and sustainability.



The report contains data analytics, with figures illustrating carbon reduction pathways, emission trends over a seven-year period, and charts and tables split by emissions scope and directorate for the fiscal year 2025. The report also describes opportunities, risks, analytical tools and disclosure platforms to support ongoing sustainability efforts to make both financial and carbon savings.



For further information see: [Carbon monitoring | Shropshire Council](#)



Index of figures and tables

Figure 1 Carbon reduction pathway	5
Figure 2 All emissions (seven-year dataset)	8
Figure 3 Scope 1 (seven-year dataset)	8
Figure 4 Scope 3 (seven-year dataset)	9
Figure 5 Negative emissions (seven-year dataset)	9
Figure 6 Scope 1 (direct) emissions = 2 ktCO ₂ e	11
Figure 7 Corporate GROSS emissions = 62 ktCO ₂ e	11
Figure 8 Corporate GROSS emissions by directorate 2025	14
Figure 9 National average carbon-intensity (public sector)	15
Figure 10 County emissions 2025 (est.)	19
Figure 11 Shropshire household emissions 2025 (est.)	20
Figure 12 Live projects benefits distribution	23
Figure 13 Planned projects benefits distribution	23
Table 1 All scopes (defined in LGA GHG accounting tool)	6
Table 2 Corporate emissions (five years shown)	7
Table 3 Corporate GROSS emissions by scope	10
Table 4 Scope 3 as a percentage of GROSS	10
Table 5 Maintained school carbon budgets (tCO ₂ e)	12
Table 6 Leisure centre carbon budgets (tCO ₂ e)	12
Table 7 Shared service delivery emissions	13
Table 8 Directorate influence on County (ktCO ₂ e)	13
Table 9 Directorate targets (tCO ₂ e)	14
Table 10 Carbon intensity GROSS and NET comparison	15
Table 11 Carbon performance and targets by sector (2025)	15
Table 12 Countywide carbon stored or emitted in land-use	16
Table 13 Carbon stored in Council-land	16
Table 14 Sustainable waste management (avoided emissions)	17
Table 15 Corporate negative or avoided emissions (2025)	18
Table 16 County emissions 2025 (est.)	19
Table 17 Domestic emissions 2025 (est.)	20
Table 18 Live projects (funding, approval in place)	21
Table 19 Planned projects (subject to funding, approval)	22
Table 20 Directorate emissions sources and method	24
Table 21 Value from renewable energy on Council land	25
Table 22 Technical terms and abbreviations	26

VERSION	FINAL - PUBLIC RELEASE (Amended 23/02/2026)
CHECKS	Strategy and Organisational Development (internal review) Shropshire Climate Action Partnership (external review)
Prepared by	Climate and Carbon Support Officer

Environmental disclosure and data analytics supported by:



1. Introduction

Shropshire Council began monitoring its carbon footprint in 2019. This report updates the Council's carbon footprint highlighting any changes against the baseline and previous year's monitoring. The report addresses the following initial questions:

- 1.1 What is Shropshire Council's latest corporate carbon footprint (2025)?
[NET emissions \(2025\) ≈ 40 ktCO₂e. Where 1k = 1'000 tonnes CO₂ equivalent.](#)
- 1.2 How has this changed from that the Council's baseline year (2019)?
[NET emissions have reduced by over a third - 35% \(-22 ktCO₂e\) over seven years.](#)
- 1.3 How have the Council's emissions changed from the previous the year (2024)?
[NET emissions have reduced by around 20% \(-10 ktCO₂e\)](#)
- 1.4 What initiatives have helped to achieve this? [Projects reflect activities from all directorates across the whole organisation:](#)
 - [Commissioning and procurement \(all services\).](#)
 - [Council property, schools and social housing decarbonisation.](#)
 - [Highways and transport decarbonisation.](#)
 - [Biochar and Pyrolysis \(carbon capture, storage - Table 18\).](#)
 - [Staff engagement: Carbon Literacy, website and e-learning.](#)
 - [Circular economy, reduce, reuse, recycle.](#)
- 1.5 What other factors have had an influence? [Recent changes in service delivery, assets, and working arrangements.](#)
- 1.6 Is the Council 'on track' for NET-zero by 2030? [It looks unlikely, due to the emission reduction gradient required \(see p5, p6,9\).](#)
- 1.7 What are the economic benefits of NET-zero? [Please see Annex C \(p25\).](#)
- 1.8 How has Shropshire Council helped decarbonise the County as a whole? (p19)
[The Councils regulatory role, policies and partnership work with Shropshire Climate Action, Marches Energy Agency, Affordable Warmth and the Marches Growth Hub.](#)
- 1.9 What additional activities, policies, support for climate action, are planned?
[A continuation of Carbon Literacy Training, partnerships inc. Marches Forward and Shropshire Climate Action. Robust Environmental and Social Governance policies.](#)
- 1.10 The report outlines current and planned projects addressing the carbon gap, stressing the importance of focusing efforts where emissions are highest.
- 1.11 To reach NET-zero by 2030 requires an annual reduction of around 16% per year, decarbonisation needs to be continuous and progressive towards that goal.
- 1.12 Following [COP30](#) hosted in Belém, Brazil, the UK has united with countries globally to re-affirm efforts to keep average global temperature increases to well below 2°C.
- 1.13 View the [Climate Dashboard](#) which shows the Council's carbon footprint and other environmental key performance indicators (KPI's). Further information about the Council's response to the Climate Emergency on [Shropshire Climate Action](#).



2. Carbon pathway

2.1 The challenge of getting to NET-zero is best illustrated with a future projection. Shropshire Council has been on a bumpy journey so far (dark green dashed line). The pandemic year is evidential in the data. Three pathways are shown (NET emissions):

- A gradual slope (-5% per year) to achieve NET-zero by 2040. (red).
- A medium slope (-8% per year) to achieve NET-zero by 2035. (amber).
- A rapid descent (-16% per year) to achieve NET-zero by 2030. (green).




Figure 1 Carbon reduction pathway

- The chart shows three projected carbon reduction scenarios for Shropshire Council to achieve NET-zero. Past performance indicates an average annual reduction of about 5%, matching the 2040 NET-zero pathway (- - -). Therefore, current progress suggests the 2040 target is the most likely outcome, ahead of the Governments 2050 target.
- The illustration shows negative emissions as light-green shaded bars below the base of Scope 1 (brown). There is an assumed increased negative offset (2% year-on-year) via carbon capture and storage to help negate the remaining GROSS emissions.



3. Carbon monitoring method

- 3.1 Shropshire Council is reporting its performance for the financial year 2025 using the LGA [Greenhouse Gas Accounting Tool](#). Scopes (1,2,3) data as outlined in the [FAQs](#).
- 3.2 Scope boundaries as per the [GHG Protocol \(Corporate Standard\)](#) methodology and latest UK Government Guidelines. For the purposes of carbon reporting across the local authority corporate operations the following categories have been identified for each emissions scope and category:-

Table 1 All scopes (defined in LGA GHG accounting tool)

Emissions scope	Emission source (ref. LGA)	Detail
Scope 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Building's heating. • Authority's Fleet. 	Corporate and public buildings. (kWh gas, oil or L of fuel).
Scope 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electricity consumed - Buildings and streetlighting. 	Green tariff (zero carbon) supported by efficiency measures.
Scope 3 (internal)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff Business Travel. • Staff Commuting. • Home working. • Water use and disposal. • Waste from own operations. 	Staff expense mileage and commuting estimate. Working from home (energy est.). Water consumption (m3). Commercial recycling (own operations) with Veolia.
Scope 3 (outsourced)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leisure facilities/centres. • PFI (ext. managed sites). • Schools (Council maintained). • Social housing - STAR. • Highways maintenance (Kier). • Health & social care – OF * • Legal & financial – OF * • ICT & BPO – OF * • Civil Defence – OF * 	Leisure operators' utility data. PFI = Private finance initiative, (externally managed buildings). STAR housing and Schools are estimated based on their energy certificates & local averages. Highways data from Kier Ltd. *Outsourced suppliers and service providers are estimated using Oxygen Finance (Insights Carbon).
Negative or avoided emissions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carbon offsets • Carbon capture & storage. • Domestic recycling** 	Council land: trees & vegetation. Domestic waste data supplied by Veolia (2025 WRATE Report).

* OF = [Insights Carbon - Oxygen Finance](#) (online procurement analytical dashboard).

** Material Recovery Facility (MRF) and Energy from Waste (EfW).



4. Corporate emissions trend

4.1 To date, a total of seven years' emissions has been compiled using the same methodology to make a fair comparison against the baseline (FY2019).

Table 2 Corporate emissions (five years shown)

Scope	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	*FY2025	Last yr. Change	7-Year Change
Scope 1	1,894	2,392	2,539	2,080	2,072	0%	-24%
Scope 2	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0%
Scope 3	68,734	71,383	69,289	70,842	59,565	-16%	-29%
GROSS	70,629	73,775	78,517	72,922	61,637	-15%	-33%
Negative emissions	-32,643	-29,208	-28,102	-23,018	-21,808	5%	28%
NET	37,985	44,567	50,415	49,904	39,829	-20%	-35%

4.2 *The reporting year FY2025 refers to the Fiscal Year 2025, covering April 1st, 2024, to March 31st, 2025. For simplicity, "FY" is omitted from tables and text later in this report.

Annual change in performance (FY2024 to FY2025)

4.3 The 15% reduction in GROSS emissions compared to FY2024 is due to:-

- Decrease in spend across specific service areas (estimate based on spend; notably Health & social care adults and children's).
- Rationalisation of schools (LA maintained) – due to academisation.
- Improvements in STAR housing stock and social housing.
- Some corrections and improvements in methodology and data.

Future monitoring may be more accurately represented by normalising the decrease in spending into the actual change in carbon and showing carbon intensity £/kgCO₂e.

4.4 Like all local authorities, the Council's carbon performance monitoring has been influenced by the Covid pandemic, which is evident in the data. The FY2025 Carbon Monitoring Report shows that NET emissions (40 ktCO₂e) have decreased by 20% compared to the previous year and a 33% reduction in GROSS corporate emissions since FY2019; demonstrating the Council's commitment to carbon reductions.

4.5 During the Covid pandemic, carbon emissions dropped for several reasons:

- Reduced building and vehicle use.
- Decreased service delivery and spending.
- Changes in public behavior.
- Temporary shutdowns and rationalization.



These factors combined to produce the notable reduction in the Council's corporate NET emissions observed in the FY2021 Carbon Monitoring Report data.




Figure 2 All emissions (seven-year dataset)

4.6 Scope 1 emissions have reduced by 24% over seven years. Shropshire Council’s buildings and vehicles are being used less compared to the previous years, in addition to efficiency improvements and rationalisation.




Figure 3 Scope 1 (seven-year dataset)

4.7 Scope 2 emissions became zero in 2020 due to a zero-carbon electric tariff. The Council recognise that reducing energy consumption is important from a cost perspective and Asset Management is progressing to make efficiency savings as part of the Council’s Carbon Reduction Programme

4.8 Scope 3 emissions have reduced by 29% over seven years. However, the estimate relies on supplier spend plus average carbon intensity factors, in addition to measured data. Reductions likely reflect improvements in service delivery and efficiency in addition to service spend reductions.




Figure 4 Scope 3 (seven-year dataset)

4.9 Negative emission sets: have come down by 28% over seven years, reflecting changes in the domestic waste recycling contract with Veolia, but also changes in natural carbon sinks on Council’s land. With new Pyrolysis plants coming online and carbon capture in biochar, “carbon sinks” or negative emissions will likely improve going forwards.




Figure 5 Negative emissions (seven-year dataset)

Is the Council ‘on track’ to achieve NET-zero by 2030?

4.10 Despite the 35% decrease in NET emissions (22 ktCO₂e) over a seven-year period, it is unlikely the Council will meet its target (since it would now require a steep 16% year-on-year reductions for the next five years). With on average 5% reductions every year since 2019, the organisation is on a pathway to reach NET-zero by around 2040.



- 4.11 Buildings and transport programmes in addition to renewable energy and carbon capture and storage are all helping towards the Council's NET-zero goal.
- 4.12 The Council has improved its scope 3 supply chain emissions estimate, and performance monitoring will be refined as more data becomes available.

5. Carbon footprint – summary

- 5.1 The GROSS emissions across all the local authority corporate operations amount to 62 ktCO_{2e} (the majority of this is classed as Scope 3). This is about 2% of the County total.
- 5.2 Scope 1 (direct emissions) are from public and administrative buildings (1.2 ktCO_{2e}) with fossil fuels used for heating and the transport fleet (0.9 ktCO_{2e}).
- 5.3 Scope 3 makes up most of the emissions; ranked highest to lowest. Health and social care (33.8 ktCO_{2e}), social housing (10.1 ktCO_{2e}), LA maintained schools (3.3 ktCO_{2e}) then leisure centres (2.6 ktCO_{2e}) and well-to-tank: (2.2 ktCO_{2e}).
- 5.4 Collectively travel and transport and travel accounts for 3.5 ktCO_{2e} so it is important to decarbonise this sector, through electrification and active travel.

Table 3 Corporate GROSS emissions by scope

Scope	Emissions source	GHG (tCO _{2e})	% of GROSS
Scope 1	Corporate heating	1,224	2%
	Transport fleet	848	1%
Scope 2	Electricity	0	0%
Scope 3 (internal)	Well To Tank *	2,215	4%
	Staff Commuting	2,026	3%
	Staff Business Travel	702	1%
	Home working	428	1%
Scope 3 (external)	Health & social care – OF **	33,788	55%
	Social housing - STAR	10,083	16%
	Schools (LA maintained)	3,256	5%
	Leisure centres	2,584	4%
	Legal & financial – OF **	1,787	1%
	Highways maintenance (Kier)	1,292	2%
	ICT & BPO – OF **	791	1%
PFI (ext. managed sites)	318	1%	

- 5.5 * New data provided by LGA GHG accounting tool Well to tank; calculated automatically and accounts for transport, distribution to the point where the fuel or utility is used.
- 5.6 **Oxygen Finance data was substituted where data is unavailable in-house or from the Council's suppliers: [Insights Carbon - Oxygen Finance](#). One benefit is that the platform reports both spend and carbon emissions by market, supplier and service category.
- 5.7 The supply chain (Scope 3) makes up vast majority of the Councils emissions:

Table 4 Scope 3 as a percentage of GROSS

97%	of GROSS = Scope 3
87%	of GROSS = Outsourced Scope 3






Figure 6 Scope 1 (direct) emissions = 2 ktCO₂e




Figure 7 Corporate GROSS emissions = 62 ktCO₂e

5.8 Figure 7 presents emissions sub-divided by 'end usage'. For clarity, the first four categories consolidate multiple datasets, reducing the number of segments displayed. For instance, "Corporate shared" brings together all emissions linked to the management of the Council's buildings and associated administrative functions.

Schools carbon budgets

- 5.9 Decarbonising schools sets a practical example but is challenging due to varied school types. Table 5 shows the carbon footprint for local authority-maintained schools, excluding academy trusts, with suggested annual reductions of 8% until 2030.

Table 5 Maintained school carbon budgets (tCO₂e)

Service	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Reduce/yr.
LA Schools	3,256	2,996	2,756	2,535	2,333	2,146	-8%

- 5.10 As of January 2025, there were 151 State-Funded schools in Shropshire of which
- o 127 Primary (76 LA Maintained and 51 Academy) .
 - o 20 Secondary (2 LA Maintained and 18 Academy) .
 - o 1 All Through School, 3 Special Schools (all Academy), 1 PRU (LA Maintained)

Support options include:- [DfE](#), [Climate Ambassadors](#), [Lets Go Zero](#), [WME Framework](#).

- 5.11 Schools successful in decarbonization are usually proactive in forming a steering group: staff, parents, governors, and LA representatives to work together on a program of carbon reduction. For example, Clun St Georges primary school (Hereford Diocese). In addition, school land often has carbon sink and biodiversity and ecology value.

- 5.12 All Shropshire state schools are estimated to be responsible for around 16 ktCO₂e

- 5.13 Schools are responsible to commission and publish display energy certificates (DEC's).

- 5.14 As schools become academies, the Council oversees fewer primary and secondary schools, shifting responsibility to academy trusts who can seek support from the [DfE](#).

Leisure centre carbon budgets


- 5.14 Table 6 below shows the collective carbon footprint associated with local authority-operated or funded leisure facilities in Shropshire with 8% reductions until 2030.

Table 6 Leisure centre carbon budgets (tCO₂e)

Service	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Reduce/yr.
LA Leisure	2,584	2,377	2,187	2,012	1,851	1,703	-8%

- 5.15 Asset consolidation will impact on the overall emissions going forwards.
- 5.16 Most leisure facilities are run by external operators who buy utilities independently. Operators must display energy certificates (DECs) publicly as required by law.
- 5.17 Leisure centre emissions by operator (total utility spend was around £1.4m per year).

Operator	GHG (tCO ₂ e)
Serco	1,595
Teme	621
Halo	191
Shropshire Council	176
Grand Total	2,584



6. Directorate emissions

Shared resources

- 5.15 Carbon budgeting and setting targets needs to represent the impact of controllable and fixed activities associated with service delivery. Shared delivery footprint should be distributed fairly across the directorates and service functions (Table 7).

Table 7 Shared service delivery emissions

<i>Category</i>	<i>tCO₂e/yr.</i>	<i>Data source / assumption</i>
Corporate management	5,756	Based on Oxygen Finance est.
Staff travel	2,728	Business and commute estimate
ICT & technology services	791	Based on Oxygen Finance est.
Staff home energy	428	40% staff WFH (20% of home energy)
TOTAL	9,702	Associated with all service delivery

Directorate carbon budgets

- 5.16 Whilst the Council's emissions represent only 2% of County total, Council services can influence up to a third of Countywide territorial emissions by enacting regulatory policies in domestic, industry & commerce, and transport sectors.
- 5.17 Table 8 below shows the carbon footprint associated with each directorate, together with Countywide emissions influenced. They are ranked highest to lowest emissions for each sector together with a target annual reduction (10%). The County sector emissions below are identified as the closest match to the associated directorate.

Table 8 Directorate influence on County (ktCO₂e)

<i>Directorate responsibility</i>	<i>Council (ktCO₂e)</i>	<i>Council reduce/yr.</i>	<i>County (ktCO₂e)</i>	<i>County reduce/yr.</i>
Strategy	-22	-1.1	1,262	100k
Commissioning	23	1.9	81	8k
Care & Wellbeing	20	0.6	n/a	n/a
Children & young people	14	0.4	n/a	n/a
Communities & customer	10	0.3	362	35k
Enabling	9	0.3	n/a	n/a
Infrastructure	4	0.1	632	60k

- 5.18 Refer to Annex B – Directorate budget methodology p 23. Except for the services listed below, all other directorates apply and should be apportioned equitably.
- 5.19 Care and well-being includes an estimate for care-homes (even if run by a third party).
- 5.20 Children and young people includes SEND provision and homes for younger adults.
- 5.21 Communities and customer predominantly includes social housing provision.



Directorate carbon reduction targets

5.22 Table 9 presents each service's carbon footprint and annual reductions of between 3 and 8% (depending on service) to 2030, ranked by emissions. See also Annex B (p24).

Table 9 Directorate targets (tCO₂e)

Directorate	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Strategy	-21,808	-22,898	-23,988	-25,079	-26,169	-27,260
Commissioning	23,434	21,559	19,684	17,810	15,935	14,060
Care & Wellbeing	20,178	19,572	17,698	15,823	13,948	12,074
Children & yng. ppl.	13,610	13,202	11,327	9,453	7,578	5,703
Communities & cust.	10,083	9,781	7,906	6,031	4,156	2,282
Enabling	8,920	8,652	6,778	4,903	3,028	1,154
Infrastructure	3,718	3,607	1,732	-143	-2,017	-3,892




Figure 8 Corporate GROSS emissions by directorate 2025

5.23 Service emissions will improve with measured datasets becoming available.

Carbon intensity metrics

5.24 **Staff carbon intensity = 22 tCO₂e/person/yr.** The Council's GROSS emissions apportioned to each employee (FTE in 2025). Although twice the average personal footprint, it should be seen in context of the services provided.

5.25 **Financial carbon intensity = 0.17 kgCO₂e/£/yr.** This is the Council's GROSS emissions divided by the total commissioned spend in 2025. About the same weight of a medium sized apple:



Average carbon intensity – public sector progress

5.26 Insights Carbon - Oxygen Finance data reveals commissioned spend and carbon emission by market sector. Shropshire Council is aligned with the national average.

Table 10 Carbon intensity coefficients: GROSS and NET comparison

0.17 kgCO ₂ e/£ based on GROSS emissions
0.11 kgCO ₂ e/£ based on NET emissions

5.27 Table 11 below summarizes carbon impacts from commissioned spending. In Health & Social Care for example spent £170.6 million and associated with 33,788 tCO₂e, or 0.20 kgCO₂e per GBP, with a target of 0.16 kgCO₂e/£. Sector data highlights differences in emissions, with some exceeding the Council average. It underscores the need for targeted service-actions and ongoing monitoring to meet sustainability goals.

Table 11 Carbon performance and targets by sector (2025)

<i>Spend per Market</i>	<i>Value (£)</i>	<i>CO₂e (tonnes)</i>	<i>Carbon Intensity (kgCO₂/£)</i>	<i>Target (2030)</i>
Health & Social Care	£170,605,831	33,788	0.20	0.16
Buildings*	£69,436,695	10,667	0.15	0.12
Waste & Environment*	£40,730,046	36,888	0.91	0.72
Highways & Transport*	£38,279,361	13,586	0.35	0.28
Legal & Financial	£19,990,802	1,787	0.09	0.07
Corporate	£9,852,990	1,186	0.12	0.10
ICT & BPO	£5,989,692	791	0.13	0.11
Culture & Leisure*	£3,997,104	664	0.17	0.13
Civil Defence	£467,455	94	0.20	0.16

*Omitted from Shropshire Councils accounting as measured carbon-data is available.

5.28 Figure 9 below illustrates national average carbon-intensity coefficients for the public sector, over the last five years, demonstrating progress in supply chain emissions.




Figure 9 National average carbon-intensity (public sector)



7. Negative or avoided emissions

7.1 The methodology for calculating how much carbon is stored through land management: Mapping Carbon Emissions & Removals in Land Use.

7.2 In terms of the whole County (3,197km²) Shropshire has about 15% tree cover. Of this, 9% consists of younger woodland and the other 6% is woodland that is at least one-hundred years old. Most of the remaining land is believed to be permanent grassland, which produces very little carbon emissions or absorption (Table 12):

Table 12 Countywide carbon stored or emitted in land-use

<i>LULUCF NET Emissions</i>	<i>Emissions (ktCO₂/yr.)</i>
Forest land	-183
Cropland	+ 95
Grassland	-114
Settlements	+ 12
Peatland	+107
Bioenergy crops	-0.7
Other	1.3
NET TOTAL	-83

7.3 For Council managed land and projects, an estimated -7,139 tCO₂ is sequestered per year by natural carbon sinks across 2,494 hectares (ha) as follows (Table 13):

Table 13 Carbon stored in Council-land

<i>Land use / category</i>	<i>Area (ha)</i>	<i>tCO₂/yr.</i>	<i>Reference</i>
Countryside sites	479	- 2,894	Approximate figures as habitats for all holdings aren't known.
Other freehold	1,667	- 2,928	
Leasehold	203	- 353	
Trees planted prior to Climate emergency	Varied (unknown)	- 420	Trees planted since 2010, but not hedgerows.
Trees planted after climate emergency	Varied (unknown)	- 431	No trees planted for the period
NET TOTAL	2,494 hectares	- 7,139	
Claimed after declaring the Climate Emergency		- 431	Tree planting schemes

7.4 Only large areas outside the countryside, like the Old Riverbeds, are monitored independently. Shropshire Council has not measured changes in carbon storage for hedgerows or individual trees. Carbon storage differs widely by habitat, tree type, soil, and land management. The organisation does not hold reliable information for Council-owned land from before 2019.



- 7.5 Trees have been planted on Council land – primarily via the Woodland Creation Accelerator Fund which had a focus on Council land. The trees planted via this project were lumped in to all the other trees planted. From autumn 2023 to Spring 2024 over 2,000 trees were planted on the public sector estate as part of this project
- 7.6 Cultivated farmland has very little impact and given a significant tree planting programme, the next steps evolve around changes to land use; enhancement of wetlands and peat bogs as a likely follow-on from tree planting programmes.
- 7.7 The management of wetlands and peatlands for carbon can have significant benefits. The main reason for little change in Shropshire is that most wetlands and peatlands in our management are already being managed in a way that effectively stores carbon. The Council has little influence on land outside of its own estate. It is possible in the future there may be opportunity to purchase further peatland. The peatland at Colemere is managed very effectively for both carbon and wildlife. The Council has been supporting the work Harper Adam’s University on paludiculture. This includes provision of suitable peat replacement substrates to boost peatland recovery.
- 7.8 We have insufficient data on trees and hedgerows within our ownership or management to make calculations. Only large countryside sites that have been surveyed have such data and these sites don’t have temporal data so we can’t effectively monitor change.
- 7.9 Hedgerows are typically managed by farmers who we have little influence over. See below on work with pyrolysis that will provide a small financial incentive for better managed hedgerows.
- 7.10 The Council’s work demonstrating pyrolysis will encourage farmers and other landowners to allow their hedgerows to grow long (where this is possible) so they can be managed for biomass. This will also have biodiversity benefits as flowers and fruit will be safe from strimming. In addition to further potential in working with third parties such as rail and highways maintenance arborists, coppice workers and effective woodland management on council estate for similar gains.

Circular economy

- 7.11 Sustainable waste management avoids emissions through the reuse of materials to offset the carbon impact of manufacturing newly extracted materials (Table 14).

Table 14 Sustainable waste management (avoided emissions)

<i>Recycling and reuse</i>	<i>tCO₂e/yr.</i>	<i>Reference</i>
Domestic recycling	- 14,669	NET emissions: WRATE assessment Veolia (May 2025)
Commercial recycling	- 75	Shropshire Council Commercial Movement Analysis Report 24/25
Warp It (material reuse)	- 48	Shropshire performance metrics



Negative or avoided emissions summary

- 7.12 Revisions to the domestic recycling model (WRATE) and the methods for calculating material recovery have resulted in a lower overall estimate of avoided emissions. Furthermore, as the national electricity grid becomes greener, the carbon emissions avoided by energy generated from waste (EfW) are now less significant than in the past.
- 7.13 The WRATE model shows that direct emissions from vehicles used, and the incinerator itself are more than compensated by the emissions avoided from material recovery plus energy recovery. Resulting in the favorable -15 ktCO₂e (negative emissions).
- 7.14 The inclusion of avoided emissions as the Council's negative emissions is subject to debate. This uncertainty arises because the carbon savings largely result from materials originally manufactured outside the County. Similarly, energy recovered from waste contributes to carbon mitigation, although this impact is comparatively smaller.
- 7.15 Since the Council declared a climate emergency, over 130,000 trees have now been planted on Council land to help absorb carbon dioxide. However, the number of new tree planting projects has gone down, and there is now less Council land available for planting. As a result, the amount of naturally stored carbon by plants and trees each year has decreased the overall natural carbon sinks as shown in Table 15 below.

Table 15 Corporate negative or avoided emissions (2025)

<i>Negative (or avoided) emissions</i>	<i>tCO₂e/yr.</i>	<i>Percent</i>
Material reuse (avoided emissions) *	- 48	0%
Commercial waste contract (avoided...)*	- 75	0%
Domestic waste contract (avoided...)	- 14,669	67%
Natural carbon sinks	- 7,139	33%
Negative emissions total	- 21,931	100%

*Counted as part of Scope 3 (in-house measured data).



8. County emissions

- 8.1 Shropshire Council's corporate (organisational) emissions make up about 2% of the County total, but its regulatory policies affect roughly a third of all territorial emissions Countywide. Table 14 presents the projected (estimated) County emissions for 2025, derived from the five-year trend prior to the latest available Government data (2023).

Table 16 County emissions 2025 (est.)

<i>Shropshire County 2025</i>	<i>2,387 ktCO₂e</i>	<i>% of County</i>
Agriculture	1,035	43%
Transport	632	26%
Domestic	362	15%
Industry & commerce	227	10%
Waste Management	81	3%
Public Sector	49	2%

Data source = UK GHG emissions: local authority and regional.




Figure 10 County emissions 2025 (est.)

- 8.2 Local government has a crucial role to play in achieving the UK's 2050 Net Zero greenhouse gas emissions target. Whilst local authorities are typically directly responsible for only 2-5 per cent of local emissions, through their policies and partnerships they have strong influence on more than a third of emissions in the area.
- 8.3 Shropshire County emissions are estimated to be 2,387 ktCO₂e, so this equates to 788 ktCO₂e as the responsibility of the planning authority (Shropshire Council), with the remaining 1,599 ktCO₂e attributed to UK Government and other regional stakeholders.



Household emissions

8.4 Households account for 15% of Shropshire’s emissions, with an estimated 143,827 homes and 337,732 residents in 2025. The average carbon footprint in Shropshire is projected at 19 tCO_{2e} per household and 8 tCO_{2e} per person, based on the latest available data. A complete set of personal carbon emissions are shown (Table 17).

Table 17 Domestic emissions 2025 (est.)

<i>Domestic only</i>	<i>County total (ktCO_{2e})</i>	<i>Per person (tCO_{2e})</i>	<i>Household (tCO_{2e})</i>	<i>As a %</i>
Diet**	931	2.8	6.5	34%
Stuff**	632	1.9	4.4	23%
Transport*	442	1.3	3.1	16%
Flights**	366	1.1	2.5	13%
Heating*	275	0.8	1.9	10%
Electric*	87	0.3	0.6	3%
TOTAL	2,733	8	19	100%

*Primary data source = [UK GHG emissions: local authority and regional - GOV.](#)

**Secondary = [ONS 2025](#), [Heatable](#), [ESCEO](#), DEFRA (food, goods/stuff and flights).




Figure 11 Shropshire household emissions 2025 (est.)

You may measure your own carbon footprint using the [WWF Footprint Calculator](#).

9. Project register

Table 18 Live projects (funding, approval in place)

<i>Project</i>	<i>Summary</i>	<i>Strategy Theme</i>	<i>Est. Benefits (tCO₂e, £s)</i>	<i>Corporate or Countywide?</i>
Carbon monitoring	Annual carbon monitoring, accounting service.	Governance Engagement	tCO ₂ , £/yr. (all projects)	Corporate
Carbon Literacy Climate Training	Roll out training to key services and e-module.	Governance Engagement	2 ktCO ₂ £0.5m/yr.	Corporate
Maesbury Solar Farm (Oswestry)	2MW solar farm on former landfill, private wire.	Power Up Energy	600 tCO ₂ /yr. £300k (est.)	Both
Biochar Joint Venture Plant	Investment in pyrolysis Biochar from pyrolysis	Power Up Carbon Capture	960 tCO ₂ /yr. £133k/yr.	Corporate
Biochar demonstrator	Construction of an automated pyrolysis and biochar Ludlow.	Power Up Carbon Capture	2 ktCO ₂ /yr. £385k/yr.	Both
Corporate roof solar PV	Solar PV on corporate Buildings and schools	Power Up Energy	300 tCO ₂ /yr. £250k/yr.	Corporate
Property (PSS) Sustainability	A three-tiered strategy to decarbonise & uplift estate.	Power Down Buildings	500 tCO ₂ /yr. £100k/yr.	Corporate
Carbon Reduction Programme	Collaboration, best practice to embed decarbonisation.	Power Down Buildings	500 tCO ₂ /yr. £100k/yr.	Corporate
Low Carbon Skills and PSDS	Secured £3m to decarbonise public building assets	Power Down Buildings	tCO ₂ , £/yr.	Corporate
Leisure Decarbonisation	Completion of Whitchurch Swimming Pool, all electric.	Power Down Buildings	8 tCO ₂ /y £10k/yr	Both
Leisure Decarbonisation	Bishops Castle SpArC Leisure Centre: ASHP/solar	Power Down Buildings	273 tCO ₂ /yr. £22k /yr.	Both
Affordable Warmth	Retrofit scheme for low-income households	Power Down Homes	tCO ₂ , £/yr.	Countywide
Authority fleet decarbonisation	2 electric bus services. 22 EVs, 25 hybrids.	Power Down Transport	100 tCO ₂ /yr. ~50 vehicles	Countywide
Highways decarbonisation	LED streetlamps (complete). Low carbon surfacing.	Power Down Resources	190 tCO ₂ /yr. £300k/yr.	Countywide
EV Charging Infrastructure	~320 Charge points installed Car parks and on-street.	Power Down Transport	tCO ₂ , £/yr.	Both
Active Travel	100 E-bikes hire service.	Power Down Transport	5 tCO ₂ , £/yr.	Both
Marches Growth	NET-zero support for commerce.	Power Down Buildings	tCO ₂ , £/yr.	Countywide
Warp It (reuse)	Re-use and repurposing Shared online platform.	Resource efficiency	160 tCO ₂ £240k.	Both

9.1 The combined value of eighteen live projects is estimated to be circa £2m revenue (income plus savings) and mitigating 8.5 ktCO₂e in greenhouse gas emissions.



Table 19 Planned projects (subject to funding, approval)

<i>Project</i>	<i>Summary</i>	<i>Strategy Theme</i>	<i>Est. Benefits (tCO₂e, £s)</i>	<i>Corporate or Countywide?</i>
Zero Ask (ESG*)	Survey top 100 suppliers, ESG* & data provision .	Engagement Governance	10 ktCO ₂ , £5m/yr.	Both
Up-skill services (sector guidance)	Develop staff deliverable project capability.	Engagement Governance	3 ktCO ₂ £250k/yr.	Corporate
Staff Energy (Climate Challenge)	Champions: energy, travel and resources	Engagement Governance	5 ktCO ₂ £1m/yr.	Corporate
Climate Strategy Review/Refresh	Five-year review: evidence and policies.	Engagement Governance	0.5 ktCO ₂ £250k/yr.	Corporate
Local Area Energy Plan and capacity	Property/land for solar, wind, and bioenergy	Power Up Energy	4 ktCO ₂ , £3m/yr.	Countywide
Heat network – Sundorne	Feasibility for pyrolysis to heat corporate buildings	Power Up Energy	tCO ₂ , £/yr.	Both
STAR housing 1,300 Homes	£20m retrofit; heating, insulation, solar.	Power Down Buildings	10 ktCO ₂ /yr. £250k/yr.	Countywide
Staff home efficiency	Support efficiency via green finance.	Power Down Buildings	1 ktCO ₂ /yr.	Both
Heat Decarb. Plans	Secured LCSF for 16 HDP's and 3 feasibilities.	Power Down Buildings	37 tCO ₂ /yr. £50k/yr.	Corporate
School Decarbonisation	Oxon Primary School, decarb project : PV/LED.	Power Down Buildings	20 tCO ₂ /yr. £13k	Both
Utility-electric optimisation	Max demand review of current capacity.	Power Down Buildings	£100k/yr.	Corporate
Property heating optimisation	BMS utilisation programme.	Power Down Buildings	200 tCO ₂ , £100/yr	Corporate
Leisure Decarbonisation	Shrewsbury Sports Village Extension ASHP, Solar PV and Pyrolysis	Power Down Buildings	tCO ₂ , £/yr.	Both
Public Rapid Chargers	Feasibility for Council-owned 'rapid chargers'	Power Down Transport	tCO ₂ , £/yr.	Countywide
Authority fleet decarbonisation	Continue with the EV and hybrid rollout plus HVO.	Power Down Transport	0.8 ktCO ₂ /yr.	Corporate
Active travel (Highways)	Low-traffic areas and safe cycle routes.	Power Down Transport	tCO ₂ , £/yr.	Countywide
Waste Minimisation	Recycling and reuse. (domestic/commercial)	Power Down Resources	tCO ₂ , £/yr.	Countywide
Climate Resilience & Adaptation Plan	Identify local climate impacts and controls	Adaptation & Resilience	0 ktCO ₂ £5m/yr.	Both

*Environmental and Social Governance.

- 9.2 The combined value—which includes both income and savings—of seventeen planned or pipeline projects is estimated to be up to circa £9.5 million, with the potential to mitigate approximately 32 ktCO₂e per year in greenhouse gas emissions.
- 9.3 This level of emissions reduction will bring the organisation significantly closer to achieving NET-zero status. While some data gaps remain regarding project and overarching programme benefits, implementing a unified project management platform (such as integrating with the PMO Framework) to record and incentivize carbon savings will facilitate improved data collection bridging all directorates.
- 9.4 Establishing carbon budgets and targets at the directorate level will help to promote a culture of continuous improvement moving forward.



Live projects analysis (strategic themes)

9.5 There has been a strong input from Power-Up (renewable energy) projects and Power-Down (efficiency), also an ongoing programme of engagement and governance.




Figure 12 Live projects benefits distribution

Planned projects analysis (strategic themes)

9.6 The dataset for planned projects is incomplete at present, but given budget constraints, engagement and governance features strongly as well as Power-Up projects.




Figure 13 Planned projects benefits distribution



Annex A – Reporting and data issues

Exclusions (due to insufficient data)

- 10.1 The following datasets have been excluded until more data becomes available and contract KPI's reviewed with suppliers for data provision:
- Community Transport and public transport operators.
 - Fugitive emissions F gases.
 - Building construction & repairs (embodied carbon and delivery).
- 10.2 Oxygen Finance (OF) data was substituted where measured data is unavailable: [Insights Carbon - Oxygen Finance](#), which reports financial spend too:
- Care homes (estimate substituted from Oxygen Finance - OF).
 - Special Educational Needs (SEND) (estimate substituted from OF).
 - Temporary accommodation (estimate substituted from OF).
 - IT - purchased goods and consumables (estimate from OF).
- 10.3 The following has been excluded due to commercial confidentiality and limited operational or financial control:
- Independent schools and academy trusts.
 - Commercial or residential leases (own metering arrangements).
 - Staff pension (for legal reasons a separate governing body; see below).

Shropshire County Pension Fund

- 10.4 Please refer to [Shropshire County Pension Fund](#) for their latest reports: [Climate-related Financial Disclosures \(TCFD\)](#) and [Climate change](#).

Annex B – Directorate budget methodology

- 10.5 The directorate carbon-budgets have developed since those outlined in 2020 to reflect the new directorate labels and allocated based on a few assumptions (Table 20).

Table 20 Directorate emissions sources and method

<i>Directorate label</i>	<i>Description of data source allocation</i>
Strategy	Maintaining and increasing negative emission sets
Commissioning	GROSS emissions minus all other categories
Care & Wellbeing	Estimated to be 60% of health and social care (OF*)
Children & young people	Estimated to be 40% of health and social care (OF*)
Communities & customer	Social housing only (STAR Housing)
Enabling	Workforce, leisure services, ICT, PFI buildings
Infrastructure	Utilities, commercial waste, highways maintenance
Legal & governance	Legal and finance, civil defence (OF*)

*Estimated based on [Oxygen Finance market sector report](#) for the financial year.




Annex C – Opportunities and risks summary

What are the economic benefits for the Council?

- 11.1 The value of live projects (Table 18) is estimated as £2m and 8.5ktCO_{2e} savings/yr.
- 11.2 The est. value of planned projects (Table 19) is £9.5m and saving up to 32ktCO_{2e}/yr.
- 11.3 Integral to planned projects, it is estimated that £1m can be saved simply by operational improvements (10% savings possible by staff engagement alone) and with targeted capital investment in assets, a further £2m could be saved per year.
- 11.4 The Council could cover all its own energy needs and make £5 million a year by using just 1% of Council land for renewable energy. The investment would pay for itself in about five years, thanks to a mix of solar, wind, and bioenergy (about 10MW in total).

Table 21 Value from renewable energy on 1% of Council land

<i>Annual value (£ p.a.)</i>	<i>£5m</i>
Carbon Saving	4 ktCO _{2e}
Capital cost	£16m
Operating cost (£ p.a.)	£0.3m
Payback period (yrs.)	5



What are the economic risks for the Council?

- 11.5 The public sector must innovate to remain viable; while financial considerations are essential, focusing solely on them can overlook other advantages. Renewable energy and utility savings benefit both finances and the environment, along with additional gains. As these strategies become standard, they reflect responsible use of public funds.
- 11.6 For further details on the [Ashden Co-benefit Toolkit](#); please see the following links to each co-benefit: [Citizen & community engagement](#), [Health Economic opportunity](#), [green jobs and skills](#), [Resilience and adaptation](#), [Equity and social cohesion](#).
- 11.7 Climate change is currently costing the organisation about £10 million annually, with potential costs rising to £170 million for the Council and £600 million Countywide by 2090 if average temperatures increase by 3°C associated with more extreme weather events; according to a [London School of Economics study](#). Utilising free resources such as the [LCAT Adaptation Tool](#) and [LGA Climate Adaptation Toolkit](#) can help develop science-based plans for the Council to mitigate climate risks and safeguard its finances.
- 11.8 Finally, the financial cost of offsetting to achieve NET-zero should be considered: The cost of carbon offsets in the UK varies significantly: the UK's regulated Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) has a price of £42 per tonne CO_{2e} in 2025.
- 11.9 If the Council wanted to go NET-zero today by offsetting using bought carbon credits theoretically it could cost £1.7m per year. Alternatively, this could be invested in local “insetting” : home-grown Pyrolysis technology to sequester CO_{2e} and produce biochar.



Annex D – Glossary of technical terms and abbreviations

Table 22 Technical terms and abbreviations

Term or Abbreviation	Definition / Description	Section
Avoidance or mitigation	Preventing emissions in the first place – e.g., replacing fossil fuels with renewable heat or power or preventing deforestation.	3 (page 6)
BEMS	Building (Energy) Management System; a control system that manages/monitors a building's mechanical and electrical equipment.	9 (page 21)
Carbon Offsets	Reduction, avoidance, removal of emissions to compensate for emissions elsewhere.	7 (page 16)
Council	The context for this report is the organisation (as opposed to the whole county emissions).	Throughout
County	In report refers to the authority territorial area of Shropshire (excluding Telford and Wrekin).	8 (page 18)
DEC	Display Energy Certificate; energy performance based on actual energy consumption.	5 (page 10)
Directorate	A key organisational unit in the council that combines related services led by a senior officer or Director.	Throughout
EfW	Energy from Waste; generating electrical/heat energy from the primary treatment of waste.	3 (page 6)
ETS	Emissions Trading Scheme; a market-based approach to controlling pollution by providing economic incentives for reducing emissions.	Annex C (page 25)
FTE	Full-Time Equivalent; a unit that indicates the workload of an employed person.	6 (page 13)
GHG	Greenhouse gas emissions that cause global warming (CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O, F-Gases, H ₂ O).	3 (page 6)
GHG Protocol	Greenhouse Gas Protocol; an international accounting tool for quantifying, and managing GHG emissions in terms of scope 1,2,3.	3 (page 6)
GROSS	Direct + indirect emissions (Scopes 1+2+3).	3 (page 6)
ktCO₂, MtCO₂,	The engineering prefixes k, M, stand for units of 1000 (one thousand), 1,000,000 (one million).	Throughout
kWh	Kilowatt-hour; a unit of energy = 1,000 watts used for one hour (Energy = Power x Time)	
LCSF	Low Carbon Skills Fund; to support the development of heat decarbonisation plans	9 (page 21)
LCAT	Local Climate Adaptation Tool; helps authorities assess climate risks and adaptation options.	Annex C
LGA Partnerships	Local Government Association; provides a suite of resources for greenhouse gas accounting	3 (page 6)
LULUCF	Land Use, LU Change, and Forestry; covers emissions and removals of GHG from land.	7 (page 16)
MRF	Material Recovery Facility: separates and prepares recyclable materials for re- manufacture.	7 (page 16)
Negative Emissions	Emissions removed from the atmosphere; carbon capture/storage (biochar), or natural carbon sinks.	3,7 (p 6, 16)



Table 22 continued...

Term or Abbreviation	Definition / Description	Section
NET	NET GHG emissions are total emissions minus those removed by carbon reduction, sequestration, or offsets. NET = Total emissions – removed emissions or GROSS emission minus approved offsets.	Throughout
OF	Oxygen Finance; a platform for supply chain, procurement analytics and carbon reporting.	3 (page 6)
PFI	Private Finance Initiative; a way of creating "public–private partnerships" by funding public infrastructure projects with private capital.	3 (page 6)
PSDS	Public Sector Decarbonisation Scheme; funding for to decarbonise public buildings.	5 (page10)
Reduction	Actions that improve energy efficiency or reduce material use to lower emissions.	3 (page 6)
Removal	Long-term storage of atmospheric CO ₂ (e.g., through pyrolysis, direct air capture, tree planting, natural carbon sinks or plant-based materials).	7 (page 16)
Scope 1	Direct emissions from owned or controlled sources (e.g., building heating, council fleet).	3 (page 6)
Scope 2	Indirect emissions from purchased electricity consumed by the organization.	3 (page 6)
Scope 3	All indirect emissions (exc. Scope 1,2) that occur in the value chain; upstream and downstream emissions (e.g., staff travel, outsourced services)	3 (page 6)
Service Function	A Service Function is an operational unit in a directorate that provides defined services or statutory duties to residents, businesses, or int. departments.	Throughout
STAR	Shropshire Towns and Rural Housing; refers to social housing managed by the council	3 (page 6)
tCO₂e	Tonnes of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) 'equivalent'; a standard unit for measuring carbon footprints, comparing and unifying greenhouse gases to CO ₂ .	Throughout
WRATE	Waste and Resources Assessment Tool for the Environment; environmental impact of waste.	7 (page 16)

Annex E – Analytical tools and disclosure platforms

- 12.1 The LGA GHG Accounting Tool enables local governments to calculate, report, and manage greenhouse gas emissions using standardized methodologies.
- 12.2 Oxygen Finance Carbon Insights is a platform that provides data-driven analytics to measure, manage, and disclose spending data and carbon emissions.
- 12.3 CDP (Carbon Disclosure Project) is an international non-profit organization that enables companies, cities, and governments to disclose their environmental impacts and climate-related data to drive transparency and action on sustainability.

Environmental disclosure and data analytics supported by:

