



LAND AT PATSHULL ROAD, ALBRIGHTON – ILLUSTRATIVE LANDSCAPE MASTERPLAN

| PEGASUSGROUP.CO.UK | TEAM/DRAWN BY: IBD/VK | APPROVED BY: AMS | DATE: 10/06/2024 | SCALE: 1:2000@A1 | DRWG: P24_0225_EN_009A | CLIENT: BONINGALE HOMES LTD |



APPENDIX 2 METHODOLOGY

A2.1 The Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) assessment provides a prediction of the Site's Biodiversity Net Gain score using the Natural England (NE) Statutory Metric (the 'Metric') and associated methodologies. The assessment calculates the baseline value according to habitat data collected as part of the habitat survey and compare this to the predicted post development habitat types and conditions according to the most developed landscape design.

A2.2 The summary below outlines the key assessment criteria employed in Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) calculations.

Habitat Survey

A2.3 The type and condition of on-site habitats was determined through habitat survey carried out on the 24th, 25th and 26th February, and 26th of April 2024 and followed the principles of Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey methodology (JNCC, 2010). Habitats were classified according to the UK Habitat Classification System (UKHab Ltd, 2023), with secondary codes used where appropriate.

A2.4 The survey was carried out by Alasdair Grubb BSc (Hons) ACIEEM. Alasdair has over 10 years of experience carrying out habitat surveys and holds a level 5 Botanical Society for Britain and Ireland (BSBI) Field Identification Skills Certificate (FISC), which certifies him as competent to undertake botanical and habitats surveys up to national vegetation classification (NVC) survey level.

The Statutory Metric

A2.5 The Metric provides a standardised framework for assessing biodiversity impacts of development projects in England, aligning with the BNG requirements established by the Environment Act (2021).

A2.6 The metric is supported by key guidance documents³ outlining the methodologies for BNG assessment, including –

- Statutory Biodiversity Metric User Guide
- Statutory Biodiversity Metric: Condition Assessments

A2.7 Biodiversity is measured in Biodiversity Units (BUs) of three distinct types: Area BUs, Linear BUs and Watercourse BUs. These three BUs are not interchangeable are assessed separately. To achieve BNG a 10% net gain is required in all BU types (where applicable).

Habitat Distinctiveness

A2.8 Distinctiveness represents the inherent biodiversity value of a habitat type based on its rarity, conservation priority, and ecological role. The metric categorises habitats into distinctiveness levels, including Very High, High, Medium, Low, and Very Low. Each category has a set score that directly impacts biodiversity calculations, particularly regarding compensatory requirements. High and very high distinctiveness habitats demand specific measures for compensation, as these are more sensitive to biodiversity losses and harder to replace with similar ecological value.

³ See <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/statutory-biodiversity-metric-tools-and-guides> : Accessed 30/10/2024

Condition Assessment

- A2.9 The condition of a habitat is assessed based on how close it is to its ecological optimum, influenced by factors such as past and current management practices. Habitat condition is scored on a scale from Poor to Good, with intermediate conditions like Fairly Good and Fairly Poor also available. These scores contribute directly to the biodiversity unit calculation, reflecting the habitat's current quality and resilience. Specific methodologies apply depending on the habitat type, with unique criteria for assessing watercourse conditions.

Strategic Significance

- A2.10 Strategic significance evaluates a habitat's local or regional importance, particularly regarding its alignment with conservation priorities set out in Local Nature Recovery Strategies (LNRS) or similar planning documents. Strategic significance is classified as Low, Medium, or High. A High designation applies to habitats that are directly linked to local conservation goals or mapped as priority areas in LNRS. This factor adjusts biodiversity units, giving greater weight to habitats that serve key roles in broader ecological networks.

Habitat Size

- A2.11 The size of each habitat, measured in hectares for area-based habitats and kilometres for linear features like hedgerows and watercourses, is a core factor in determining biodiversity units. Habitat size directly contributes to the total biodiversity score of a site and influences calculations for habitat loss, creation, and enhancement. This quantitative aspect ensures that both the extent and ecological quality of habitats are accounted for in BNG assessments.

Risk Multipliers

- A2.12 Risk multipliers address various factors that could affect the successful establishment of compensatory habitats. Spatial risk adjusts biodiversity units based on the distance between the site of habitat loss and the location of compensatory habitats, with penalties applied for habitats situated further from the impacted area. Temporal risk considers the time it takes for newly created or enhanced habitats to reach their intended condition, accounting for any ecological delays in achieving the desired biodiversity outcome. These multipliers refine the BNG calculations, ensuring a realistic measure of biodiversity value over time and space.
- A2.13 By systematically applying these criteria, the statutory biodiversity metric provides a structured and quantifiable approach to calculating biodiversity gains and losses, enabling effective planning and compensatory actions across diverse development projects.

APPENDIX 3 BNG GOOD PRACTICE PRINCIPLES FOR DEVELOPMENT

Good Practice Principle	Commentary
1. Apply the mitigation hierarchy	The design process has sought to retain habitat of higher biodiversity value including woodland, mature trees and ponds. Hedgerow loss has been minimised as far as is feasible in the context of the development.
2. Avoid losing biodiversity that cannot be offset by gains elsewhere	No irreplaceable habitats or protected areas will be lost or affected by this application.
3. Be inclusive and equitable	Consultation with the Local Planning Authority Ecologist and Local Ecological Records Centre (LERC) have taken place during the process of this application. Further consultation will be necessary through the determination of the outline application and the reserved matters application(s).
4. Address risks	The assessment has applied a precautionary approach to the assessment of the on-site post development habitat types, conditions and therefore biodiversity value. The off-site habitat targets are precautionary and realistic based on the baseline conditions. Medium distinctiveness habitats are targeted with relatively low risks, and time to target condition.
5. Make a measurable Net Gain contribution	The project represents an opportunity to deliver significant gains in biodiversity in the local area as measured by the Statutory Metric. Detailed assessment will be required at reserved matters stage.
6. Achieve the best outcomes for biodiversity	The detailed design for both on-site and off-site areas will aim to maximise biodiversity gains whilst also considering potential conflicts arising associated with residential development. The off-site area will be specifically managed to provide maximum biodiversity benefit.
7. Be additional	There are no existing nature conservation objectives on the site. Therefore the enhancement of retained habitats and creation of new habitats and their management for biodiversity for the next 30 years add significant legally secured benefits for local biodiversity.
8. Create a Net Gain legacy	This will be achieved through creation of a 30-year management plan which will ensure biodiverse and high quality habitats remain on site and offer foraging, commuting, nesting and hibernation potential to a range of local wildlife whilst also ensuring those who level on the new development have natural spaces for play and recreation.
9. Optimise sustainability	The indicative design of the on-site green open space has been designed to retain those habitats which are of higher (woodland, ponds and hedgerow). Retention of higher value habitat will be prioritised during detailed design.
10. Be transparent	This report provides a transparent method for the BNG assessment ensuring that all stakeholders can understand the methodology and decision making.



Hello@BeamsleyEcology.co.uk

Beamsley Ecology Ltd | Company no. 12184675.